



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,511	01/21/2000	Venkat V. Easwar	LS/0002.00	5933

7590

06/25/2002

John A. Smart
708 Blossom Hill Road
201
Los Gatos, CA 95032

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2612

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/489,511

Applicant(s)
Easwar et al.

Examiner
Luong Nguyen

Art Unit
2612



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Luong Nguyen

(3) _____

(2) John Smart

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 19, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Rabbani et al. patent.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Smart explained the invention, and stated that Rabbani et al. patent do not disclose "deferring color processing until transferring image data to a computer." Mr. Smart proposed supplemental amendment to add limitation "deferring interpolation of pixels until after the companded image has been transferred" to the claim to overcome the prior art cited. The Examiner will consider when receive the Supplemental amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Luong Nguyen

Examiner's signature, if required